XII. An Emendation to PCol. Inv. 65

NAPHTALI LEWIS

BROOKLYN COLLEGE

Under the title "A Lease of an Olive Grove" Dr. Sarah B. Porges published in *TAPA* 92 (1961), 469–80, a papyrus of 300 A.D. in the collection of Columbia University. The document is interesting from several points of view, particularly for its detailed listing of the operations performed in olive culture. The following brief contribution to an improved reading of the text and understanding of the technical terminology is based on a transcript of the papyrus which the late William L. Westermann asked me to make in 1937.

A comparison of the two transcripts made almost a quarter of a century apart reveals once again the loss that is often suffered through physical damage or fading of ink when the publication of papyri is long delayed. Dr. Porges marks as doubtful or damaged a total of 44 letters which are clear in my copy. And she encloses within square brackets, as having disappeared completely, 10 letters of which traces were still visible in 1937; most important of these is the date in the last line, where I was able to read $M \epsilon \chi \epsilon i[\rho] i$.

My main point, however, concerns the last word of line 18, about the reading of which Dr. Porges expresses serious doubt before proceeding to a lengthy discussion of what would be implied if the word were (as she gives it) $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \mu o \iota \sigma s$. My reading at this point is $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi \iota \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \mu o \iota \sigma s$, and this reading is confirmed by Professor J. F. Gilliam, who kindly examined the papyrus at my request in November, 1962. The word $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi \iota \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \mu o \iota \sigma s$ is new, but the labor it denotes is clear both from its obvious etymology and from two passages in Geoponica which describe the work, using—once with $\kappa \iota \iota \kappa \lambda \iota \omega$ —the simple verb $\iota \iota \iota \alpha \lambda \iota \omega$, "to excavate in the shape of a $\iota \iota \iota \alpha \lambda \iota \omega$." The two passages, dealing with the planting and care of olive trees, read as follows:

Geoponica

Translation

9.5.7:

ένθήσομεν δε αὐτό τἢ γἢ ώς ὑπερέχειν δ΄ δακτύλους, καὶ ἐνταῦθα τὸν βόθρον φιαλώσαντες, ώς προείρηται, πρὸς ὑποδοχὴν τῶν ὅμβρων. And we plant it (i.e. the shoot) in the soil so that it sticks up four fingers, and then we scoop out the basin, as said above, to catch the rains.

9.10.2:

δεῖ δὲ μετὰ ταῦτα εὐθέως ποιεῖσθαι τὴν τῆς γῆς περίχωσιν, περιχωννύντας ἀπὸ ρίζῶν δηλονότι ἔως διπαλαιστιαίου ὕψους, τά τε κύκλῳ τῆς περιχώσεως φιαλοῦν, πρὸς τὴν τῶν ὀμβρίων ὑδάτων ὑποδοχήν.

After this one should at once make a barrier of earth all around, heaping the earth round to a height (from the roots, of course) of two palms, and then scoop out in the circle of the barrier a basin to catch the rain waters.

Following Grenfell and Hunt, Dr. Porges accepts (475) the equation $\gamma \dot{\nu} \rho \omega \sigma \iota_s = ablaqueatio$. If the various Greek terms for digging, hoeing, etc., did in fact have specific Latin equivalents, $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi \iota \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \dot{o}s$ asserts, it seems to me, the strongest claim to synonymity with ablaqueatio. The basic elements of the two words evoke pretty much the same image $(\phi \iota \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta)$, a basin; laqueus, a hollow), and there is a striking concinnity between the descriptions of $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi \iota \alpha \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \dot{o}s$ quoted above and the description of ablaqueatio in Palladius, RR 2.1: "ablaqueandae sunt vites...id est circa vitis codicem dolabra terram diligenter aperire et... velut lacus efficere, ut solis teporibus et imbribus provocentur."